

**System of 2 Derrida's models with fixed correlation between the spin
configurations of subsystems**

Allakhverdian A., Saakian D.

Yerevan Physics Institute

Alikhanian Brothers St.2, Yerevan 375036, Armenia

and Laboratory of Computing techniques and automation, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia

Saakian @ vx1.YERPHI.AM

PACS.05.50+q-Lattice theory and statics, Ising problems

PACS.75.10Nr-Spin-Glass and other random models

Abstract

The free energy and finite size effects are calculated for the system of 2 Derrida's models with fixed constraint between the spin configurations. Calculation were performed for the Random Energy Model approach.

The random energy model (REM) was considered in [1], where it has been derived from the hamiltonian of random P -spin interactions. It has been solved directly in [1]. Then Gross and Mezard [2] solved Derrida's P -spin model by means of mean-field method (Parisi ansatz for replica symmetry breaking). This 2 models are the same in the thermodynamics limit. Perhaps accuracy is even better, exponential for the good choice of P ($P=N/2$) [3].

It is possible to use Derrida's model for the optimal coding [4-7]. This Idea of Sourlas was applied to the ferromagnetic phase of Derrida's like model, which gives optimal coding for the simple symmetric channel.

To consider simple case of multi-terminal systems (the case of correlated sources) we need to consider system of 2 models of Derrida's, with fixed correlation among their spin configurations. In this work we are going to give REM version of such model.

Let's consider 2 sets of 2^N energy levels E_α^1, E_α^2 $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^N$; $E = E_\alpha^1 + E_\beta^2$. In addition not all the pairs E_α^1, E_β^2 are permitted.

The matrix of connectivity $\alpha\beta$ was introduced, which elements takes the value 1 in the case when the pair (E_α, E_β) and 0-otherswise.

The energy levels E_α^1 have the distribution:

$$P_x(E_\alpha^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N}} \exp \left[-(E_\alpha^1)^2 / N \right] \quad (1)$$

and for E_α^2 the distribution is:

$$P_y(E_\beta^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N J}} \exp \left[-(E_\beta^2)^2 / N J^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

For the free energy we have an expression

$$\langle \ln Z \rangle = \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^M dE_\alpha^1 dE_\alpha^2 \ln \left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \beta=1}}^M C_{\alpha\beta} \exp \left[E_\alpha^1 + E_\beta^2 \right] B \right] P_x(E_\alpha^1) P_y(E_\beta^2); \quad M = 2^N \quad (3)$$

There is a condition for the matrix $C_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^M C_{\alpha\beta} = e^{N \ln 2h}; \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, M. \quad (4)$$

By using the trick from [1]

$$\ln Z = \Gamma'(1) - \int_0^\infty \ln Z de^{-tz} \equiv \Gamma'(1) - \int_{-\infty}^\infty u de^{-e^u Z} \quad (5)$$

one gets ($\lambda_1 = N\sqrt{\beta}$; $\lambda_2 = N\sqrt{\beta}J$)

$$\langle \ln Z \rangle = \Gamma'(1) - \int_{-\infty}^\infty u d\Psi(u) \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \prod_\alpha \frac{dx_\alpha dy_\alpha}{\pi} e^{-\sum_\alpha (x_\alpha^2 + y_\alpha^2) - e^u \sum_{\alpha,\beta} C_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\lambda_1 x_\alpha + \lambda_2 y_\beta}} \quad (7)$$

In the bulk approximation our monotonic function $\Psi(u)$ is similar to step function (with the center in the point u_0 , will be defined later) and one derives

$$\ln Z \simeq -u_0 \quad (8)$$

For $u > -u_0$ the function $\Psi(u)$ decreases exponentially, and for $u < -u_0$ it takes 1 with exponential precision. Depending on the values of λ_1, λ_2 we obtain different asymptotics for the function:

$$\Psi_1(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx dy}{\pi} e^{-(x^2 + y^2) - e^u (e^{\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y})} \quad (9)$$

In the case $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ we have 2 possibilities.

At first we can expand the last term in the exponent. It yields:

$$\Psi_1(u) \simeq 1 - e^{u + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)/4} \quad (10)$$

The main contribution (10) comes from the domain near to the point:

$$x = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}; \quad y = \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \quad (11)$$

For the calculation to be self-consistent, $\exp[u + (\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y)]$ must be small in the point (11). Hence one gets the condition:

$$u < -(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)/2 \quad (12)$$

Doing the same for all the degrees of freedom, we get:

$$\Psi(u) \simeq \exp \left[-e^{u + \frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{4} + N \ln 2(1+h)} \right] \quad (13)$$

Hence as in (5) we obtain:

$$\frac{\ln Z}{N} = \frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{4} + \ln 2(1+h) \equiv (1+J^2) \frac{B^2}{4} + \ln 2(1+h) \quad (14)$$

The condition (12) yields:

$$B < B_c \equiv 2 \sqrt{\frac{(1+h) \ln 2}{1+J^2}} \quad (15)$$

Now let it be the situation, when $e^{u+\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y}$ is big in the region, giving the main contribution to the integral. Then:

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^M dx_{\alpha} dy_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}^2 + y_{\alpha}^2)} \quad (16)$$

where the convex domain D is obtained from the condition:

$$\lambda_1 x_{\alpha} + \lambda_2 y_{\beta} < -u \quad (17)$$

The value of the integral (16) will be defined by the point on the boundary D , where $(x_{\alpha}^2 + y_{\alpha}^2)$ is minimal.

For that point we get:

$$x_c = -\frac{\lambda_1 u}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}; \quad y_c = -\frac{\lambda_2 u}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} \quad (18)$$

For one pair it'll be derived (omitted pre exponents):

$$\Psi_1(u) \simeq 1 - e^{-(x_c^2 + y_c^2)} \quad (19)$$

The same goes with $\Psi(u)$:

$$\Psi(u) \simeq \exp \left[-e^{\frac{-u^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} + N \ln 2(1+h)} \right] \quad (20)$$

Hence we get (for $B > B_c$):

$$\frac{\ln Z}{N} \simeq \sqrt{(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) N (1+h) \ln 2} \equiv B N \sqrt{(1+h)(1+y^2) \ln 2} \quad (21)$$

For the general case for $\Psi_1(u)$ we have the expansion:

$$\Psi_1(u) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ 1 - \theta(-u - \lambda_1 x - \frac{\lambda_2^2}{2}) e^{u + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2/4} - \theta(u + \lambda_1 x + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{2}) e^{-(u + \lambda_1 x)^2/\lambda_2^2} \right\} \quad (22)$$

By taking the integral for one x_α and 2^{Nh} of y_β connected with it, we get the 2^{Nh} power of the expression in the braces in (of) (22). This yields to the truncation of the integration domain in (22). Depending on λ_1, λ_2 we get:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} e^{-x^2} dx \simeq 1 - \frac{e^{-x_1^2}}{2x_1\sqrt{\pi}}; \quad x_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda_2^2}{4} + Nh \ln 2 + u \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \quad (23)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} e^{-x^2} dx \simeq 1 - \frac{e^{-x_2^2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}x_2}; \quad x_2 = \frac{\lambda_2\sqrt{Nh \ln 2} + u}{\lambda_1} \quad (24)$$

If we raise (23), (24) to the 2^N power we obtain the expression for $\Psi(u)$:

$$\Psi(u) \simeq \exp \left[-e^{\frac{-(u + Nh \ln 2 + \lambda_2^2/4)}{\lambda_1^2} + N \ln 2} \right] \quad (25)$$

or

$$\Psi(u) \simeq \exp \left[-e^{-(u + \lambda_2\sqrt{Nh \ln 2}/\lambda_1^2 + N \ln 2)} \right] \quad (26)$$

Hence we find, that for $2\sqrt{\ln 2} < B < 2\sqrt{h \ln 2}/J$

$$\frac{\ln z}{N} = \lambda_1\sqrt{Nh \ln 2} + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4} + h \ln 2 \equiv \beta\sqrt{\ln 2} + \frac{\beta^2 J^2}{4} + h \ln 2 \quad (27)$$

and for $\beta > 2\sqrt{h \ln 2}/J$

$$\frac{\ln z}{N} = x_1\sqrt{N \ln 2} + \lambda_2\sqrt{h \ln 2} \equiv \beta\sqrt{\ln 2} \frac{\beta^2 y^2}{4} + h \ln 2 \quad (28)$$

The solutions (27),(28) are correct for

$$h > J^2 \quad (29)$$

and for

$$h < J^2 \quad (30)$$

the solution is (21) At high temperatures we have paramagnetic solution (14). Then, in the case (30) system falls into SG phase (21) at $B = B_c$.

In the case (29) we have a mixture phase (SG+paramagnetic)(27) and SG-(28). Now let's consider the ferromagnetic variant of the models.

For E_1^1, E_1^2 we have (instead of (1)) and (2):

$$P_1(E_1^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N}} \exp \left[-(E_1^2 + J_0^1 N)^2 / N \right] \quad (31)$$

$$P_2(E_1^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N} J} \exp \left[-(E_1^2 + J_0^2 N)^2 / J^2 N \right] \quad (32)$$

For other $E_\alpha^1, E_\alpha^2; \alpha \geq 2$ we have the old distributions (1),(2).

The constants J_0^1 , and J_0^2 are positive.

In this case for $\Psi(u)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u) = & \int \prod_\alpha \frac{dx_\alpha dy_\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left\{ - \sum_\alpha (x_\alpha^2 + y_\alpha^2) - \{ \exp [u + u_1 + u_2 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 y_2] - \right. \\ & - \sum_\beta C_{1\beta} \exp [u + u_1 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y] - \sum_\alpha C_{\alpha 2} \exp [u + u_2 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y] - \\ & \left. - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=2}^M C_{2\beta} \exp [u + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y] \} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$u_1 = J_0^1 B N \quad u_2 = J_0^2 B N \quad (34)$$

For the ferromagnetic phase the first term in braces in (33) is resolving. In the bulk approximation the three others can be neglected, and then $\Psi(u)$ behaves like a step-function in the point $-(J_0^1 + J_0^2)N_B$, to the right from this point it damps like:

$$\Psi(u) \simeq \exp \left[- \frac{u^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} \right] \quad (35)$$

Hence we come to:

$$< \frac{\ln Z}{N} > = (J_0^1 + J_0^2) N_B \quad (36)$$

This solution is correct, when it's bigger than the corresponding expression for the spin-glass and mixed (one system is in ferromagnetic phase, the other - in the spin - glass). Mixed phase is derived, when in braces in (33) the second and the third terms are dominant. Then we get:

$$\frac{\ln Z}{N} = J_0^1 N_B + B\sqrt{h \ln 2} J \quad (37)$$

or

$$\frac{\langle \ln Z \rangle}{N} = J_0^2 N_B + B\sqrt{h \ln 2} \quad (38)$$

In the case (29) we get the following condition for the existence of the solution (36)

$$\begin{cases} J_0^1 + J_0^2 > \sqrt{\ln 2} + \sqrt{h \ln 2} J \\ J_0^1 > \sqrt{h \ln 2} \\ J_0^2 > \sqrt{h \ln 2} J \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

If we have the variant (30) for existence of (36) we get:

$$\begin{cases} J_0^1 + J_0^2 > \sqrt{\ln 2(1+h)(1+J^2)} \\ J_0^1 > \sqrt{h \ln 2} \\ J_0^2 > \sqrt{h \ln 2} J \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Let's consider now the finite size effects. For the calculation of the magnetization we come to the expression:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_2(u) du \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(u) = \int \prod_{\alpha} \frac{dx_{\alpha} dy_{\alpha}}{\pi} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}^2 + y_{\alpha}^2) + u + u_1 + u_2 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 y_1 - \right. \\ \left. - \{ \exp [u + u_1 + u_2 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 y_1] + \sum_{\beta} C_{1\beta} \exp [u + u_1 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 y_{\beta}] + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha 2} \exp [u + u_2 + \lambda_1 x_{\alpha} + \lambda_2 y_1] + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} C_{\alpha\beta} \exp [u + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 y_{\beta}] \} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

If we neglect the three last terms in braces in (32) the integral in (42) gives 1.

The correction terms in the exponent leads to that (42) is substituted by the expression like:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-u_c} \Psi_2(u) \Psi_3(u) \quad (43)$$

where $-u_c$ is a maximum for (37), (38),(21) in the case $h > J^2$ or for (37), (38), (28) in the case $h < J^2$. The function $\Psi_3(u)$ is defined by the corresponding term in (42). It is like a step function in the point u_c . When the dominant is (28) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{-u_c} \Psi_2(u) \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[-\frac{u^2}{N\beta^2(1+y^2)} + N \ln 2(1+h) \right] \right\} \simeq \\ & \simeq 1 - \int_{-u_c}^{-\infty} \Psi_2(u) - \int_{-\infty}^{-u_c} \Psi_2(u) \exp \left[-\frac{u^2}{N\beta^2(1+y^2)} + N \ln 2(1+h) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

For the function $\Psi_2(u)$: it's equals to unity in the vicinity of the point $-(J_0^1 + J_0^2)/NB$, further it falls exponentially.

$$\Psi_2(u) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{N\beta^2(1+y^2)}{2^{|u+(J_0^1+J_0^2)N\beta|}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u + (J_0^1 + J_0^2)N\beta^2}{N\beta^2(1+y^2)} \right\} \quad (45)$$

The expression (44) can be substituted by (44) lies when the asymptotic (45) is correct.

At last we obtain for the magnetization:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - a \exp \left\{ -\frac{J_0^1 + J_0^2 + \sqrt{(1+J^2)(m+h) \ln 2}}{1+J^2} N \right\} \theta \left[-\frac{J_0^1 + J_0^2}{\sqrt{1+J^2}} + 2\sqrt{(1+h) \ln 2} \right] - \\ & -b \exp \left\{ -\frac{(J_0^1 + J_0^2)^2 N}{1+J^2} + N \ln 2(1+h) \right\} \theta \left(\frac{J_0^1 + J_0^2}{\sqrt{1+J^2}} - 2\sqrt{(1+h) \ln 2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

It's correct, when:

$$\sqrt{(1+J^2)(1+h) \ln 2} > J_0^1 + J\sqrt{h \ln 2} \quad (47)$$

$$\sqrt{(1+J^2)(1+h \ln 2)} > J_0^2 + \sqrt{h \ln 2} \quad (48)$$

If the inequality (47) or (48) is violated, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - a \exp \left\{ (-J_0^1 - \sqrt{h \ln 2})^2 N \right\} \theta(-J_0^1 + 2\sqrt{h \ln 2}) - \\ & -b \exp \left\{ -1(J^1)^2 + h \ln 2 N \right\} \theta \left[J_0^1 - 2\sqrt{h \ln 2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

for

$$\begin{cases} J_0^1 + J\sqrt{h \ln 2} > \sqrt{(1 + J^2)(1 + h) \ln 2} \\ J_0^1 + J\sqrt{h \ln 2} > J_0^2 + \sqrt{h \ln 2} \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - b \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{J_0^2}{J}\right)^2 + h \ln 2N \right\} \theta \left[\frac{J_0^2}{J} - 2\sqrt{h \ln 2} \right] \\ & - a \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{J_0^2}{J} - \sqrt{h \ln 2}\right)^2 N \right\} \theta \left[-\frac{J_0^2}{J} + 2\sqrt{h \ln 2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

for

$$\begin{cases} J_0^2 + \sqrt{h \ln 2} > \sqrt{(1 + J^2)(1 + h) \ln 2} \\ J_0^2 + J\sqrt{h \ln 2} > J_0^1 + J\sqrt{h \ln 2} \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

Similarly we can consider the situation for $J^2 > h$.

We see (come to the conclusion) that for $T = 0$ there are many subphases, where there are different modes (regimes) of finite size effects.

Perhaps it will be possible to apply Derrida's model generalizations for the more complicated problems of information theory like broadcast channels.

Acknowledgments We thank Ye. Harutunyan for discussions.

This work was supported by German ministry of Science and Technology Grant 211-5231.

References

- [1] B.Derrida, Phys.Rev.Lett.**45** (1980) 79.
- [2] D.Saakian, JETP Lett.**61** (1995) n.8.
- [3] D.Gross, M.Mezard, Nucl.Phys.**B240** (1984) 43.
- [4] N.Soarlas, Nature **239** (1989) 693.
- [5] D.Saakian, JETP Lett.**v.55** (1992) 798.
- [6] D.Rujan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **701** (1993) 2968.
- [7] H.Nishimori, Physica,**A204** (1994) 1.

[8] I.Csiszar, J.Korner, Information Theory, 1995